

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/706,136	11/12/2003	Gary Ames Peck	CA-282	6221	
7590 06/08/2006			EXAMINER		
William J. Crossetta, Jr.			CASTELLANO, STEPHEN J		
Crossetta & Ass 905 Convention		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
43 Court Street		3727			
Buffalo, NY 14202			DATE MAILED: 06/08/2000	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					e			
		Appli	cation No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/70	06,136	PECK, GARY AM	PECK, GARY AMES			
		Exam	iner	Art Unit				
<u>-</u>		-	en J. Castellano	3727				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication appears or	n the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ad	ldress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any i	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE nsions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this conductor of period for reply is specified above, the maximum re to reply within the set or extended period for repreply received by the Office later than three monthed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In r nmunication. statutory period will apply a sly will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUNION TO EVENT, however, may a limit will expire SIX (6) MONE application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this c BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) fi	led on 14 April 200	16					
	This action is FINAL .							
 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the r 								
٠,٣	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)[Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>10 and 3</u> Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-9,11,13-21,24,25 and 2</u>	3-38 is/are withdra		tion.	·			
_	Claim(s) <u>12,22,23 and 26</u> is/are ob							
	Claim(s) are subject to restr	-	on requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by t	he Examiner.						
10)🖂	The drawing(s) filed on <u>11-12-03</u> is	/are: a)⊠ accepte	d or b)⊡ objected	to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any obj	ection to the drawing	(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
441	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	=	•	•				
	The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner	. Note the attached	d Office Action or form P	IO-152.			
Priority L	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priorit	y documents have	been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priorit3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internat	s of the priority doc	uments have been	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Stage			
* S	See the attached detailed Office act	ion for a list of the o	certified copies not	received.				
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)(Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTG	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/706,136

Art Unit: 3727

Applicant's election of Group A: Fig. 1 in the reply filed on April 14, 2006 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 10 and 33-38 have been withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on April 14, 2006.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2 and 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Williams et al. (Williams).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9, 13 and 27-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Williams.

Re claim 9, Williams discloses the invention except for protruding ridge of the metal paint container. Official notice is taken that metal paint containers and bottom sidewall

Art Unit: 3727

outwardly protruding ridges for paint containers are well known. It would have been obvious to modify the paint container to be metal and to have a bottom sidewall outwardly protruding ridge to provide a container that secures in a stable manner with the topple resistant receptacle of the kit.

Re claim 13, Williams discloses the invention except for the size. It would have been obvious to modify the size of the topple resistant receptacle to be at least equal to two times the surface area of the bottom of a gallon container as a matter of design choice in selecting a size large enough to retain the volume of a full gallon paint can if such should spill.

Re claims 27-31, Williams discloses the invention except for the adapter plate and the ladder hook. Official notice is taken that adapter plates and ladder hooks are well known. It would have been obvious to add the adapter plate to provide a means to convert the circular attachment to a rectangular attachment. It would have been obvious to add the ladder hook to suspend the receptacle form a ladder.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Williams in view of Demitry.

Williams discloses the invention except for the oblong nature of the receptacle. Demitry teaches an oblong receptacle with rounded end and flat end. It would have been obvious to add an area for a brush support which is rectangular and to make the rectangular receptacle circular such that one end is rounded while the brush support is located at a flat end in order to provide a space to rest a brush.

Claims 3, 4 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Williams in view of Mitchell.

Art Unit: 3727

Williams discloses the invention except for a double walled retainer wall. Mitchell teaches a double walled retainer wall. It would have been obvious to add the double wall to reinforce the outer perimeter of the receptacle.

Claims 14-21, 24 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Williams in view of Pool.

Williams discloses the invention except for the slot shield. Pool teaches a slot shield. It would have been obvious to add the slot shield to prevent paint from clogging the upper rim of the paint can.

Claims 12, 22, 23 and 26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen J. Castellano whose telephone number is 571-272-4535. The examiner can normally be reached on increased flexibility plan (IFP).

Application/Control Number: 10/706,136 Page 5

Art Unit: 3727

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Stephen J. Castellano Primary Examiner Art Unit 3727

sjc